

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5022 OF 2000

TATA IRON & STEEL COMPANY LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

INDIAN STEEL & WIRE PRODUCTS LTD.& ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to submit additional documents and  
amendment of cause title)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 5023 of 2000

(With application for impleadment and directions and office report)

Date: 24/08/2006 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ashok H. Desai, Sr.Adv.

In CA 5022/00

Mr. S. Ganesh, Sr.Adv.

& RR in CA 5023/00

Mr. Pallav Sishodia,Adv.

Mr. Ravinder Narain,Adv.

Mr. Pravin Bahadur,Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Dahiya,Adv.

Mr. Monish Panda,Adv.

Mr. Rajan Narain,Adv.

For Respondent(s)  
In CA 5022/00  
& Appellant in  
CA 5023/00

Mr. R.F. Nariman, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Arun Monga,Adv.

Mr. S.K. Sabharwal,Adv.

Mr. Navin Prakash,Adv.

Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Amit Bhandari,Adv.

Ms. Naresh Bakshi,Adv.

Contd....2/-

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Application for amendment of the cause title is allowed.

I.A. No.5 - application for intervention is disposed of and  
the appeals

are dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed order.

(Neena Verma)

(Vijay

Aggarwal)

Court Master

Court Mas

ter

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5022 OF 2000

Tata Iron & Steel Company Limited ..  
.Appellant

Versus

Indian Steel & Wire Products Limited & Anr. ..  
.Respondents

WITH

Civil Appeal No.5023 of 2000

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Application for amendment of the cause title is allowed.

Learned counsel for the appellants in Civil Appeal Nos.5022 of 2000

and 5023 of 2000 want to withdraw the appeals. The appeals are, accordingly,

dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for by learned counsel for the appella  
nt in

each case.

An I.A. has been filed for intervention (I.A. No.5) primarily on

the ground that an appeal is pending before the AAIFR. Needless to s  
ay the

AAIFR shall decide the matter before it in accordance with law. I.A. N  
o.5 is,

accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.

( ARIJIT PASAYA

T )

.....J.

( S.H. KAPADIA )

New Delhi,

August 24, 2006.