

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 44 8 4 OF 20 0 3

U.O.I. & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VER SUS

S.Q. NASREEN QUADRI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

Date: 20 / 0 1 / 2 0 0 9 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Harish Chandra, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. B.Sunita Rao, Adv.  
Ms. Noorjahan, Adv.for  
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.B.Upadhyay, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Kumud Lata Das, Adv.  
Mr. Y.K. S.Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Adv.(Not present)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)  
Court Master

(Indu Satija)  
Court Master

[Signed Order is placed on the File]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 44 8 4 OF 20 0 3

Union of India & Others

..Appellants

versus

S.Q.Nasreen Quadri & Others

..Respondents

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We are of the opinion that the decision of this Court in the case  
of Nirmal Chandra Sinha vs. Union of India & Others reported in  
2008 (4) SCALE 839 is distinguishable and the decision of this Court

in the case of Union of India & Others vs. K. B. Rajoria reported in  
(2000) 3 SCC 562 squarely applies to the facts of the case.

Hence, in view of the above, there is no merit in this appeal and  
it is, accordingly, dismissed. No order as to costs.

.....J.  
[MARKANDEYKATJU]

NEW DELHI ;  
JANUARY 20, 2009 .

.....J.  
[R.M. LODHA]