

t

C.A.No. 18 OF 2000
ITEM No. 104

COURT NO.8

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 18 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

KOUSALYA ... APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

BALASUNDARAM ... RESPONDENT (S)
(With office report)

Date : 30/01/2002 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Appellant (s)

Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. S.N. Jha, Adv.
for Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Learned counsel for the parties made their
submissions for five minutes.

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous in terms of
the signed order.

.SP1

Sarita (Radha Rani Bhatia)@@
AA
Court Master@@
A AAAAAAAAAA

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA
.PL56

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 18 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

KOUSALYA

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

BALASUNDARAM

...RESPONDENT

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Learned counsel for the appellant states that the tenant-appellant has vacated the suit premises and therefore, the appeal has become infructuous. This fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the respondent, rather he supports the same.

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous, as prayed.

.SP1

.....J.
(R.C. LAHOTI)

New Delhi,
January 30, 2002.

.....J.
(P. VENKATARAMA REDDI)