

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8127 OF 2013

AMIT KUMAR KULTHIA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S.DELHI STOCK EXCHANGE ASSO.LTD.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file rejoinder affidavit)

Date: 06/12/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya,Adv.(Not present)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ravindra Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Ashutosh Dubey,Adv.

Mr. Mayank Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Rajshri Dubey,Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Chauhan,Adv.

Mr. Harsh Sharma,Adv.

Mr. P.N. Puri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

None appears for the Appellant.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

|
|Court Master

| (Sarita Purohit)

| |Assistant Registrar

| | (Sneh Bala Mehra)

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8127 OF 2013

AMIT KUMAR KULTHIA

...APPELLANT

VS.

M/S.DELHI STOCK EXCHANGE ASSO.
LTD.& ORS.

...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

None appears for the Appellant.

We have perused the report dated 27th November, 2013, submitted by the Official Liquidator. Upon perusal of the contents of the report of the Official Liquidator, we do not see any reason to entertain the appeal.

The appeal is dismissed.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.4-M/s. Tirupati Jewellers that they would require some more time to deposit the balance amount. It would be open to the said Respondent to approach the High Court/Official Liquidator with such a request. We are sure that the request shall be considered objectively.

..2/-

.2.

The offer submitted by Respondent No.4-M/s. Tirupati Jewellers should be accepted by the Official Liquidator, subject to the aforesaid modification.

.....J.
[ANIL R. DAVE]

.....J.
[DIPAK MISRA]

New Delhi;
6th December, 2013.