

ÆITEM NO.115

COURT NO.6

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6564 OF 2004

C. MANICKAM (D) BY LR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DHANALAKSHMI AMMAL (D) BY LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 6566 of 2004

(With office report)

Date: 29/09/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. S.Aravindh, Adv.for  
Mr. V. Balachandran,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K.V.Viswanathan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Senthil Jagdesan, Adv.for  
Mr. V. Ramasubramanian,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed  
order. No costs.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)  
Court Master

(Indu Satija)  
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6564 OF 2004

C.Manickam (D) By Lr.

..Appellant(s)

versus

Dhanalakshmi Ammal (D) By Lrs.

..Respondent(s)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6566 OF 2004

O R D E R

These Appeals have been filed against the impugned judgments and orders dated 14th November, 2002 and 21st June, 1996 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras in O.S.A. No. 235 of 1996 and S.A. No.138 of 1987 respectively.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgments and hence we are not repeating the same here.

We have gone through the judgments of the courts below. In our opinion, the appreciation of material on record and the legal inferences drawn therefrom are unexceptionable and do not call for our interference.

The Civil Appeals are dismissed accordingly. No costs.

.....J.  
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;  
SEPTEMBER 29, 2010

.....J.  
[T.S. THAKUR]