

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.9904/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 26/02/2001 in LPA 469/00
of The HIGH COURT OF M.P AT JABALPUR)

STATE OF M.P. AND ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

P.K. SHRIVASTAVA AND ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 11/07/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. KHARE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s) Mr. B.S. Banthia,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Rahul Srivastava,Adv.
Mr. SS Ray,Adv.
Ms. Rakhi Ray,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

After we heard learned counsel for the petitioners, we find that despite numerous opportunities given to the petitioners, no counter affidavit to the writ petition was filed by the petitioners before the High Court. Therefore, they are not entitled to dispute the correctness of the facts stated in the writ petition. At this stage, Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents stated that the respondents who were the petitioners before the High Court would be satisfied if revised scale is granted to them w.e.f. 3.5.1989. We feel that the said statement of learned counsel is fair, looking into the facts and circumstances of this case. We, therefore, dispose of the petition in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, leaving the question of law open to be decided in an appropriate case.

.SP1

(Neelam Kawatra)
Court Master

(S. Krishnan)
Court Master