

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 4593 OF 2003

JAYALAKSHMI AMMAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ARUNACHALA MUDALIAR & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

No one appears for the appellant.

2. Mr. S.R. Setia, learned counsel, appears for respondent No.

1.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 submits that the suit property has now been sold to the appellant on the basis of the settlement entered into between the parties, as informed to him by the local counsel.

4. He may be right and that is why nobody appears for the appellant. We, accordingly, dismiss this Civil Appeal for want of prosecution. No costs.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

NEW DELHI;
OCTOBER 11, 2012

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

ITEM NO.106

COURT NO.6

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 4593 OF 2003

JAYALAKSHMI AMMAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ARUNACHALA MUDALIAR & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 11/10/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s)

Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Adv. (NOT PRESENT)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S.R. Setia, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Civil Appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution in terms
of the signed order.

|(Rajesh Dham)
|Court Master

| |(Renu Diwan)
| |Court Master

|

(signed order is placed on the file)