

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 380 OF 2005

AMARJEET KAUR ARORA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANISH KUMAR ARORA

Respondent(s)

(With application for stay and application under sec.13B of Hindu Marriage Act and for quashing of FIR

No.247/2004 and office report)

Date: 10/04/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vijay Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Mayuri Vats,Adv.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(A.S. BISHT)

(PUSHAP LATA BHARDWAJ

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO.380 OF 2005

AMARJEET KAUR ARORA  
PETITIONER(S)

.....

:VERSUS:

MANISH KUMAR ARORA  
RESPONDENT(S)

.....

O R D E R

An application has been filed by the parties for grant of a decree by mutual

consent in terms of Section 13(B)(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, pursuant to and in

furth<sup>n</sup>erance of a settlement arrived at by them through the interventio  
of the

Mediator. We, in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 142 of the Constitution of

India read with Order XLVII of the Supreme Court Rules, allow the said prayer and

pass a decree of divorce by mutual consent in terms of Section 13(B)(2) of the Hindu

Marriage Act.

It is stated before us that the other terms and conditions of the settlement

have been complied with. However, we direct the postal authorities to allow the

petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.6 lakhs in the name of her daughter A RUNI, in

Monthly Income Scheme.

....2/-

-2-

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner does not intend

to proceed with the criminal case against the respondent, being FIR No.247 /2004

dated 10.4.2004 of P.S. Luhana in the State of Haryana. We, in view of this

statement, direct that the same shall stand quashed.

The transfer petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J

(S.B. SINHA)

.....J

(P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN)

NEW DELHI;

APRIL 10, 2006.