

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Transfer Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 436/2013

SMT. SARITA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHRI SANJAY
(With appln. for stay and office report)

Respondent(s)

Date : 01/08/2014 This petition was called on
for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRAFor Petitioner(s) Ms. Sweta Rani, Adv.
Mr. Alok Shukla, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Manish Vidyarthi, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThe Transfer Petition is disposed of as having
become infructuous.[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master[Vinod Kulvi]
Asstt. Registrar

[Signed order is placed on the file

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Charanjeet Kaur
Date: 2014.08.04
17:39:33 IST
Reason:

1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 436 OF 2013

SMT. SARITA ... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SHRI SANJAY ... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. This Transfer Petition is filed under Section

25 of the Code of Civil Procedure for transfer
of HMA No. 12/11, titled as "Shri Sanjay vs.
Smt. Sarita" pending in the Court of learned
Principal Judge, family Court, Rohini Delhi to
the Court of competent jurisdiction at Alwar,
Rajasthan.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits
that he would withdraw H.M.A. No.12/11, titled
as "Shri Sanjay vs. Smt. Saria" within two
weeks' time. Taking note of the statement so
made by the learned counsel for the respondent,
in our opinion, as of now, nothing
2
survives in this petition for our consideration
and decision.

3. The transfer petition is disposed of as
having become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

.....J.
[H. L. DATTU]

.....J.
[PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE]

.....J.
[ARUN MISHRA]

NEW DELHI;
AUGUST 01, 2014.