

b
T.P.(C) No. 296 OF 2001

ITEM No.28

Court No. 5

SECTION XVIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Transfer Petition.(Civil) No.296/2001@@
CC

SAAHIL TRENDS

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

ADANI EXPORTS LTD.

Respondent (s)

(With appln(s). for stay)
(With Office Report)
With

T.P.(C) No.394/2001,T.P.(C) No.400/2001,T.P.(C) No.412/2001,@@
CC

T.P.(C) No.414-416/2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

(With appln (s). for stay)

Date : 09/01/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. KHARE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

For Petitioner (s)

in all the petitions Mr. FS Nariman, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Dutt, Adv.
Ms. Ekta Kapil, Adv.
Ms. B. Vijayalakshimi Menon,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

in TP 296/2001 Ms. Avantika Keswani, Adv.
for Mrs Manik Karanjawala,Adv.

in TP 394, 400, 412,
414-16/2001

Mr. DA Dave, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Vanita Bhargava, Adv.
Ms. Divya Roy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

When these matters were taken up, it was brought to our notice that on the application of the petitioner for leave to defend the hearing is going on before the City Civil Court, Ahmedabad and it would be appropriate if the hearing of these Transfer Petitions be postponed for two months. We feel the request is reasonable. We,

therefore, adjourn these matters for two months. Learned

...2/-

-2-

counsel for the parties shall inform the Registry if any order is passed by the City Civil Court, Ahmedabad on the application of the petitioner for leave to defend. We may clarify that it would be open to the City Civil Court to proceed with the hearing on the application for leave to defend filed by the petitioner.

.SP1

(Alka Dudeja)
Court Master

(S. Krishnan)
Court Master