

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE REGISTRAR B. SUDHEENDRA KUMAR

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 406-408 OF 2005

MAMTA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AJUDHYA DEAVI & ORS., ETC.

Respondent(s)

Date: 28/09/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vibhakar Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Kamal Kumar Pandey, Adv.

Mr. K.L. Janjani, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.K. Dhingra ,Adv.

Mr. A. Ranganathan, Adv.

Mr. Buddy A. Ranganathan, Adv.

Mr. A.V. Rangam, Adv.

Mrs Anil Katiyar ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that in the affidavit of

dasti service, filed by the petitioners, it is stated that respondent Nos. 3 and 4 had refused

to accept

the notice. Needless to say that the affidavit of service, as mentioned above, cannot be treated as

affidavit having deemed service of notice. Therefore, the petitioners shall take fresh steps within

two weeks to send notice to unserved respondent Nos. 3 and 4 by Registered Post A.D.

List again on 8.11.2006.

mar)

(B. Sudheendra Ku

r

Registra