

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8321/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 13/04/2004 in Appeal(Lodging) No. 19
7/2004 in WP No.

591/2004 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

OSPUNAM TRADERS LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to submit additional document(s) and vacati
ng the order dated

05.05.2004 and with prayer for interim relief))

Date: 06/05/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Uday Lalit, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Chinmoy Khaladkar, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Nandy, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Ramesh Singh, Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay V.Kharde, Adv.

Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi ,Adv.

Mr. Vinay Navare, Adv.

R-13 Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Ravindra K.Adsure, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri ,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard counsel for the parties.

We clarify that the interim order passed by this Court on 5th May, 2004 was not

intended to stay the process on election, if due. It will, therefore, be open to the concerned

authorities to take necessary steps in accordance with law, and to hold election of officer bearers of

-2-

the Society, if due.

The Court's order dated 5.5.2004 was only intended to see to it that the Administrator

who had taken over, was not displaced. We are informed by counsel appearing on behalf of the

Administrator that the erstwhile office bearers have not handed over complete documents and

charge of their office. We direct that they shall do so forthwith.

If in the meantime, election is held and new office bearers are elected, this order will not

prevent them from taking over charge and management of the Society.

The IA No.4 is disposed of in the above terms.

Put up this matter after summer vacation.

(Sheetal Dhingra)

(Vijay Dh

awan)

Court Master

Court Master