

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.6 SECTION XVI
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 139 OF 2011
IN Civil Appeal 2663/2004 [FOR PREL. HEARING]

AMAR NATH ROY & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ARUN KUMAR KEDIA & ANR Respondent(s)

Date: 11/05/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This is yet another case where despite the Order of this Court dismissing the Appeal of the tenant and granting time to it to vacate the premises in question it has not vacated it.

In the Appeal on 04.11.2009, the appellant-tenant was granted nine months' time from that date to vacate the suit premises on giving usual undertaking in this Court within six weeks from that date. That nine months expired on 04.08.2010. Today is 11.05.2011 but yet the tenant has not vacated the premises in question. This is a very unfortunate state of affairs.

Put up this matter day after tomorrow, that is, 13.05.2011 showing the name of learned counsel who appeared for the appellant-tenant in the Appeal.

Issue notice. Notice may be served dasti to the learned counsel who appeared for the appellant-tenant in the Appeal.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master