

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 135 OF 2010 IN W.P(C) 293/2006

GUNDU CHINNA ILLAIAH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S.D. KAUSHIK & ANR.

Alleged contemnor/
Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 23/08/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mfr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.J.S. Attri, Sr.Adv.
Ms.Ranjana Narayan, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal,Adv.

State of A.P. Ms.C.K. Sucharita, Adv.
Ms.Nirada Das, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The contempt petition is disposed of.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO.135 OF 2010

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.293 OF 2006

Gundu Chinna Illaiah & Ors.

..Petitioner(s)

Versus

S.D. Kaushik & Anr.

...Alleged/
contemnors/Res-
pondent(s)

O R D E R

Pursuant to various orders of this Court finally the Government of India has filed an affidavit giving details of steps so far taken in respect of the claims of the petitioners. In the affidavit dated 12th August, 2011, the Under Secretary in the Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, Freedom Fighter Division, New Delhi, has furnished all the details and filed a consolidated status report showing (a) sanctioning of freedom fighters pension, (b) rejection of certain cases, (c) calling further details from the State of Andhra Pradesh for removal of certain deficiencies, (d) awaiting decision from the State Government and (e) no action is required in some cases because as per the report from the State all the

...2/-

:2:

parties to the claim either died or whereabouts not known to the Government.

In view of the action taken report filed along with various correspondences between the State of Andhra Pradesh and Union of India, we accept the same and no further adjudication is required and discharge the notice and drop the contempt proceedings. It is made clear that any of the parties to the litigation aggrieved of the order passed or orders to be passed, they are free to challenge the same in the manner known to law.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(P. SATHASIVAM)

.....J.
(Dr.B.S. CHAUHAN)

New Delhi,
August 23, 2011.