

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.13

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 215/2013

GENERAL INSURANCE COUNCIL & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for directions and office report)

Date : 20/08/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N.K. Kaul, ASG
Dr. Syed Saif Mahmood, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Goel ,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee ,Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan ,Adv.
Mr. C. D. Singh ,Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Mehley, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG
Mr. R. Balasubramanium, Adv.
Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.
Ms. Natasha Sahrawat, Adv.
Mr. D. S. Mahra,Adv.

Mr. G. N. Reddy ,Adv.

Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Sukhbir Paul Kaur
Date: 2014.08.22
22:45:52 IST

Reason: Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R. ,Adv.
Mr. B.R. Mohan Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Adv.

2

Mr. Samir Ali Khan ,Adv.

Mr. Shibashish Misra ,Adv.

Mr. Sangram S. Saron, Adv.
Mr. Shree Pal Singh ,Adv.

Mr. Sunil Fernandes ,Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy ,Adv.
Mr. A. Mariarputham, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf, adv.
Mr. Ashok S. Pillai, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.
For M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co.,Adv.

Ms. A. Sumathi ,Adv.
Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.
For M/s Corporate Law Group ,Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi ,Adv.
Ms. Jesal, Adv.
Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.

Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, AAG
Ms. Pragati Neekhra ,Adv.

Ms. Rachana Srivastava ,Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Sharma, Adv.

State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

State of Punjab Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Pabbi, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv.

State of A.P. Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

State of W.B. Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.
Ms. Shagun Matta, Adv.

State of Telangana Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

3

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Ms. Pinky Anand, learned ASG appearing on behalf of the Union of India has submitted that the order of this Court dated 22nd April, 2014 in S. Rajaseekaran versus Union of India and others reported in (2014) 6 SCC 36 have been complied with.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties on the issues raised in this writ petition. We are of the view that there are many areas where the insurance company's responsibility towards the victims is not very clear. We invite suggestions from the learned counsel appearing for all the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to include the Central Institute of Road Transport (CIRT) as party

respondent.

Let notice be issued to the newly added respondent.

List this matter on 23rd September, 2014.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)
Court Master

(Suman Jain)
Court Master