

ITEM NO.14

COURT NO.9

SECTION II

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 3368/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26-08-2025 in CRMA No. 53003/2023 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

SHISHUPAL @ SHISHPAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 52329/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT; IA No. 52330/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.; IA No. 52331/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 09-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Loveleen Kaithwas, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Kumar Vikkey, AOR  
Mrs. Kalpana Jha, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Shankar Jha, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, AOR  
Mr. Mrigank Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Anshul Chawla, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
**O R D E R**

1. Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent appearing on caveat.

2. The submission is that the petitioner has not sought any adjournment before the Trial Court and that, no miscellaneous applications have been filed

by him so as to delay the trial till the passing of the impugned order. He has given a narration of the entire facts in synopsis on page 'G' to 'K' of the paper book.

3. Learned counsel for the State of Uttar Pradesh is directed to obtain instructions in this regard and to clarify if any adjournment was sought or any miscellaneous applications, as alleged, was filed by the petitioner till the passing of the impugned order within two weeks.

(SNEHA DAS)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(NIDHI MATHUR)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)