

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
 RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 183 OF 2013
 BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K. HANJURA

MANISH KUMAR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondent(s)

Date: 04/04/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-In-Person,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Santosh Kumar,adv.
 Mr. Ashok Panigrahi ,Adv
 Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran ,Adv
 Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat ,Adv
 Ms.Shubhra,adv.
 Mr. Gopal Singh ,Adv.
 Mr.Lagnesh Mishra,adv.
 Mr. V.N. Raghupathy ,Adv
 Mr. Mishra Saurabh ,Adv
 Mr. Om Prakash,adv.
 Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv
 Mr.Rudreshwar Singh,adv.
 Mr. Samir Ali Khan ,Adv
 Ms.Vartika Sahay Walia,adv.
 M/S Corporate Law Group ,Adv
 Mr. Milind Kumar ,Adv.
 Mr.Saakaar Sardana,adv.
 Mr. Anip Sachthey ,Adv
 Mr.K.V. Jagdishvaran,adv.
 Ms. G. Indira ,Adv

 Mr.Manjit Singh,adv.
 Mr.Kamal Mohan Gupta,adv.

 Mr.Anil Shrivastav,adv.
 Mr.Rituraj Biswas,adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

.....2

ITEM NO.14

-2-

Petitioner is present in person. The
 Ld.counsel for the respondent Nos.21, 30,32, 33 & the
 State of Karnataka are present.

Ld.counsel for the State of Karnataka seeks
 and is granted four weeks time as last chance for
 filing the counter affidavit.

What gets revealed from the perusal of the
 office report is that the service of notice is
 incomplete on the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3, 6,11, 12, 27,
 28, 34 and 36. Ld.counsel for the petitioner is
 directed to take fresh steps for the service of notice
 by usual mode to them. He shall do the needful within a
 period of two weeks, failing which appropriate orders
 shall follow on the next date. Dasti in addition is

permitted to be served.
List again on 30.07.2014.

(M.K.HANJURA)
Registrar

SB