

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8951/2010

MANAGEMENT OF M/S.DEEPAK MEL.HOSP.&RES.C                      Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY KUMAR    Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief )

Date: 23/07/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)  
Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Ld.counsel for the petitioner had earlier purported to have served the respondent by dasti through the counsel appearing before the Industrial Tribunal. The matter was listed before the Hon'ble Court thereafter. Hon'ble Court by order dated 10.02.2012 had directed fresh notice to be issued to the respondent and notice to be additionally served by dasti. Now, Ld.counsel for the petitioner has filed an affidavit of dasti stating that notice has been served by dasti on the respondent on 16.04.2012 and produced the acknowledgment.

Contd...2

ITEM NO.19

-2-

The proof of dasti shows only the signature of the person on whom it is purported to be served. The identity of the person is neither discernable from the proof itself or the affidavit which also does not disclose as to the person on whom the dasti is served. Notice sent by post is still awaited.

In the light of above, the petitioner is directed to clarify. Await service.

List the matter on 17.08.2012.