Â
ITEM NO.6 REGISTRAR COURT.2 SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8951/2010

MANAGEMENT OF M/S.DEEPAK MEL.HOSP.&RES.C Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY KUMAR Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 17/08/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Gaichangpou Gangmei,adv.
Mr. Sridhar Potaraju,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Ld.counsel for the petitioner has filed an affidavit of service which indicates that dasti notice was served on the counsel for the respondent appearing before the Industrial Tribunal. It is seen that on earlier occasion also dasti was served on the counsel appearing for the respondent before the Industrial Tribunal which was not accepted by the Hon'ble Court and hence directed this Court to issue fresh notice. Hence, I am not inclined to accept the dasti notice.

Contd....2

Petitioner is directed to take fresh steps both by registered post, acknowledgment due simultaneously through the District Court concerned within whose jurisdiction the respondent is residing. Furnish the correct address of the District Cout. Issue notice. Await service report.

List the matter on 27.09.2012.

| | | |(Sunil Thomas) |
| | | |Registrar |

SB