

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2714 OF 2007

STATE OF PUNJAB

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

B.K. KANUNGO & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 01/08/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Singhal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.
MS. Anjani Kr. Dubey, Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam ,Adv

Mr. Tushar Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. S.Ranjith Kumar, Adv.
Mr.T.V.George ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

|(Shashi Sareen)
|Court Master

| |(Veena Khera)
| |Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. 2714 OF 2007

|STATE OF PUNJAB

|...| Appellant(s) |

| Versus |

O R D E R

Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, Learned AAG appearing on behalf of respondent-State submits on instructions that the candidates selected for appointment as Director of respondent No. 3 institute resigned on 22.08.2008. A fresh notice was then issued inviting applications from eligible candidates for appointment against the vacancy caused by the resignation and based on the selection process conducted by the competent authority Professor Sunil Pandey appointed as Director of the Institute w.e.f. 12.08.2011 by the Central Government as the Institute had in the meantime been declared to be a deemed university under Section 3 of the University Grants Commission Act, 1956. He submits that in the light of the said subsequent development, this appeal has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such

leaving the question of law raised open. We order accordingly. No costs.

.....J.
(T.S.THAKUR)

.....J.
(VIKRAMAJIT SEN)

New Delhi,
August 1, 2013