

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S
C I V I L A P P E A L N O (s) . 2 2 5 3 O F 2 0 0 6

NAGA R MAHA P A L I K A GORA K H P U R & O R S .

Appellant (s)

V E R S U S

NA R S I N G H N I S H A D

Respondent(s)

Date: 0 2 / 0 8 / 2 0 0 7 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E H . K . S E M A

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E L O K E S H W A R S I N G H P A N T A

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V.J. Francis, Adv.

Mr. Anupam Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Despite of service of notice, respondent did not
put appear a nce.

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant, we see no
reason to interfere. The appeal, being devoid of merit, is, accordingly,
dismissed. We, however, modify the order of the High Court to the
extent that applying the principle of 'no work no pay', the respondent
shall not get his back wages for the period he was out of service.

(Ravi P. Verma)
Court Master

(Gyan Bhatia)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 2 2 5 3 O F 2 0 0 6

NAGA R MAHA P A L I K A GORA K H P U R
N T (S)
& O R S .

.....A P P E L L A

Versus

NAR S I N G H N I S H A D
N T (S)

.....R E S P O N D E

O R D E R

Despite of service of notice, respondent did not put appear a nce.

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant, we see no

reason to interfere. The appeal, being devoid of merit, is, accordingly, dismissed. We, however, modify the order of the High Court to the extent that applying the principle of 'no work no pay', the respondent shall not get his back wages for the period he was out of service.

.....J .
(H.K. S E M A)

New Delhi;
August 02, 2007 .

.....J .
(LO K E S H W A R S I N G H P A N T A)