

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12134/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 27/12/2012 in WP No.12786/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

M/S DLF HOME DEVELOPERS LTD. & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HYDERABAD METROPOLITAN DEV.AUTHORITY&ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to bring on record subsequent facts and documents and permission to file lengthy list of dates and with prayer for interim relief and office report )

Date: 21/03/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today  
in the Mentioning List.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Biswas, Adv. for  
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Paras Kuhad, ASG  
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.  
Mr. Amit K. Nain, Adv.  
Mr. Amjid Maqbool, Adv.  
Ms. Suchitra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Taken on board.

Issue notice returnable on July 5, 2013.

Dasti, in addition to the ordinary process.

Tag with S.L.P. (C) No. 10946 of 2013 - Watermarke Estates Pvt. Ltd. vs. Hyderabad Metropolitan Dev. Authority and another.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. Notice shall be issued only to the unrepresented respondents.

We record and accept the statement of Mr. Paras Kuhad, learned Additional Solicitor General on behalf of respondent No. 1 that until further orders, neither the amount deposited by the petitioners shall be forfeited nor any further demand shall be enforced.

We record the statement of Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned senior counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are ready and willing to restore back the possession of the subject land which has been given by respondent No. 1 to them. However, Mr. Paras Kuhad, learned Additional Solicitor General submits that the respondent No. 1

is not desirous of getting the land back as the respondent No. 1 intends to file counter-affidavit and contest the claim of the petitioners.

|(Pardeep Kumar)  
|Court Master

| |(Renu Diwan)  
| |Court Master

|