

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 11466/2013  
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11/12/2012  
in WP No. 36792/2011 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at  
Bangalore)

THE DIRECTOR/COMMNR., DEP.OF MINES&GEO&OR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

V. SAMPANGI RAMAIAH Respondent(s)  
(With application for quashing the notification and Interim Relief  
and Office Report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 3482-3491/2014  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 979/2016

(With application for impleadment and office report)

Date : 27/03/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing  
today.

CORAM : HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Basavaprabhu S. Patil, Sr. Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Chinmay Deshpande, Adv.

Mr. Amjid Maqbool, Adv.

Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.

Mr. Anil V. Katarki, Adv.

Mr. Anil C. Nishani, Adv.

Ms. E. R. Sumathy, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. S. N. Bhat, AOR

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR

Mr. Joseph Aristotle S., Adv.

Mrs. Priya Aristotle, Adv.

Ms. K. Priyadarshini, Adv.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Adv.

Ms. Romsha Raj, Adv.

1

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) NO(s). 11466/2013 and 3482-3491/2014

A preliminary Notification was issued under Section 4 of the  
Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 on 3 rd  
June, 1991. The respondent was  
aggrieved by this notification and that is how the present  
petitions are before us.

Be that as it may, a final Notification has been issued on 1 st  
December, 2015.

In view of the above, the decision rendered by the High Court  
in pursuance of the Notification dated 3 rd

June, 1991 has become

academic. We, therefore, dispose of the petitions without  
commenting one way or the other on the correctness or otherwise on  
the order passed by the High Court.

The special leave petitions are disposed of.

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 979/2016

The petitioner (V. Sampangi Ramaiah) has filed this petition  
under Article 32 of the Constitution challenging the final  
notification dated 1 st

December, 2015.

We are not inclined to entertain the writ petition under  
Article 32 of the Constitution.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed with liberty to the  
petitioner to challenge the notification before the High Court.

2

In view of the above, the application for impleadment is disposed of.

(Meenakshi Kohli)  
Court Master (SH)

(Sharda Kapoor)  
Court Master (NS)