

ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.3

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No(s). 1557/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17/11/2011
in CRA No. 225/2009 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana
At Chandigarh)

HABIB @ MUNNA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for dispensing with service of notice and office
report)

Date : 01/09/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHIFor Petitioner(s) Mr. N.M. Popli,Adv.
Mrs. B. Sunita Rao,Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Narender Hooda,AAG
Mr. Ankit Swarup,Adv.
Mr. Vineet Malik,Adv.
Dr. Monika Gusain,Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Learned counsel for the parties seek some time to
examine two distinct aspects that arise for consideration in
this special leave petition. In the first place they wish to
examine whether any legislation, dealing with heinous offence
committed by juvenile, is on the offing. If so, what is the

nature of the legislation and how far will it impact the pending cases. The second aspect which needs to be examined is as to the approach to be adopted by the court where the age of the alleged juvenile is placed in a range as for instance 17 to 20 years in the present case. In particular whether the claim for juvenility has to be judged in such a situation by reference to the lower or upper extremity of the age determined on medical examination.

Post again on Tuesday, the 14th October, 2014.

Learned counsel may do the needful in the meantime.

(Mahabir Singh)
Court Master

(Veena Khera)
Court Master