

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

Civil Appeal No(s). 4067-4068/2004

COMMNR. OF CENTRAL EXCISE MEERUT

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S J. PRASAD POLYMERS & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for stay and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 4119/2004

(with appln. (s) for stay and office report)

Date : 06/04/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. K.Radhakrishnan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.

Mr. Raghvendra M. Bajaj, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V. Lakshmikumaran, Adv.

Mr. M.P. Devanath, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.

Ms. L. Charanaya, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Bhattacharya, Adv.

Mr. R. Ramachandran, Adv.

Mr. Hemant Bajaj, Adv.

Mr. Amrish Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Anandh K., Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(SUMAN WADHWA)

AR-cum-PS

(SUMAN JAIN)

COURT MASTER

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Suman Wadhwa

Date: 2015.04.08

14:39:22 IST

Reason:

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.

4067-4068

OF 2004

Commnr. Of Central Excise, Meerut

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4119

OF 2004

O R D E R

The Tribunal has, as a matter of fact, found that the brand name (Sundar) used by the respondent was his own brand name and it was not the brand name of M/s. S.R.&S as contended by the Revenue. It is pure finding of fact recorded by the Tribunal and therefore no interference is called for.

The appeals are accordingly dismissed.

.....J.
(A.K.SIKRI)

.....J.
(ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)

New Delhi;
Date: 6.4.2015.