

SECTION XIIA
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 167-170 AND 171-174
(Application for impleadment)

IN

PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C)Nos. 25586-25589 of 2013.

M.MADHUSUDAN REDDY & ORS. ETC.

..PETITIONERS

-Versus-

THE CENT. POWER DISTRIBUTION CO. A.P & ORS.

..RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that IA Nos. 167-170 of 2014 and IA Nos. 171-174 of 2014 were listed before Chamber Judge on 29th October, 2014 when the Hon'ble Chamber Judge was pleased to pass the following Order:

“It is represented by learned counsel appearing for the respondents that the impleadment applications have not been served. Issue notice on the impleadment applications.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted.”

The matter above-mentioned was listed before Registrar Court on 17th February, 2015, when he was pleased to pass the following order:

“Petitioner has failed to take fresh steps to effect service on respondent no.4 in SLP(C) No. 25586, 25587, 25588 of 2013 and respondent nos. 4 and 9 in SLP(C) no. 25589/2013 despite last opportunity given. Further opportunity is therefore declined.

Let the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for appropriate directions.”

The office report for directions in the matter above-mentioned was listed before Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers on 13th April, 2015, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for and is granted two weeks' time to comply with the Office Report dated 11-4-2015.”

It is submitted that counsel for the Petitioner has not filed two copies of application for impleadment for issuance of notice of Interlocutory Application Nos. 167-170 and 171-174 on common respondent nos. 4 in all SLPs and Respondent No. 9 in SLP(C) NO. 25589 of 2013(who are unrepresented respondents in SLP) so far.

RR

: 2 :

Hence, notice on application for impleadment could not be issued on common Respondent no. 4 in all SLP's and Respondent no. 9 in 25589 of 2013. Mr. A.K. Tandale, counsel for the Petitioner has on 9th April, 2015 filed proof of service of serving applications for impleadment on counsel for the respondents.

It is further submitted that Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, counsel for the Petitioner has only on 9th April, 2015 filed two sets of SLP for effecting service on respondent no. 4 in all SLP and respondent no. 9 in SLP(C) No. 25589 of 2013 but counsel for the Petitioner has not furnished fresh address of Respondent No. 9 in SLP (C) No. 25589 of 2013.

Service is not complete on common Respondent Nos. 4 in all SLP's and Respondent no. 9 in 25589 of 2013.

The aforesaid applications and default of the counsel for the Petitioner in the matters is listed before the Hon'ble Chamber Judge with this office report.

Dated this, the 28th day of July, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

- 1) Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Advocate, 114, R.K. Jain Chambers Block, Supreme Court Compound
- 2) Mr. G. N. Reddy, Advocate, P-13, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi-17
- 3) M/s. Venkat Palwai Associates, Advocate
- 4) Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR