

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.148 OF 2003

IN

CONTEMPT PETITION NOS.265-267 OF 1999

IN

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.209 OF 1998

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.366 OF 1998

RAMA NARANG

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMESH NARANG AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions, stay, permission to file affidavit in
reply to the additional affidavit of R.No.1 and office report)

Date: 19/09/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Pragya Singh Baghel, Adv.

Ms. Ritu Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Diya Kapoor, Adv.

Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. F.S. Nariman, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Akhil Sibal,Adv.

Mr. Subhash Sharma,Adv.

Ms. Inkle Barooah,Adv.

Ms. Amrita Swarup,Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta,Adv.

In I.A.No.4/2006:

Ms. Sandhya Goswami,Adv.

Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.(Not Present)

2/-

....

- 2 -

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

I.A.NO.4:

Heard in-part.

30 a.m., on Place the matter on 25th September, 2006, at 10.

which day the counsel for the petitioner should state clearly as to why sum of Rs.two crores, as agreed to by the petitioner before this Court, to be paid to Mr. Omi Narang, has not been paid. If sufficient cause is not shown, this Court would consider desirability of initiating contempt proceeding against the petitioner.

[Alka Dudeja]
m Prakash]

[O

Court Master

Court Master