

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3479 OF 2004

COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE,
BANGALORE

... APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S.I.T.C. LTD.

... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. We have heard learned counsel for the parties to the *lis*.

2. Shri Ashok Kumar Panda, learned Senior Counsel, appearing for the revenue states that in view of the rejection of the review petition that is filed by the Revenue in the case of Commissioner of Central Excise, Delhi Vs. Maruti Udyog Ltd., (Review Petition (C)No.75 of 2003 dismissed on 9th December, 2004), nothing survives in this appeal for consideration and decision by this Court.

3. Taking note of the statement so made by learned senior counsel, the appeal is disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

.....CJI
(H.L. DATTU)

.....J.
(V. GOPALA GOWDA)

.....J.
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI,
AUGUST 26, 2015.

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.1

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 3479/2004

COMMNR. OF CENTRAL EXCISE, BANGALORE

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S. I.T.C. LTD.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 26/08/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s) Mr.Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Arijit Prasad, Adv.
Mr.S.Lodha, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Ajay Aggarwal, Adv.
Ms.Mallika Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Narain,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of as having become infructuous,
in terms of the signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
AR-cum-PS
(Signed order is placed on the file)

(Vinod Kulvi)
Asstt.Registrar