

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1198 OF 2001

Lal Singh

Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Rajasthan

Respondent(s)

Date: 30/05/2007 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN
[VACATION BENCH]

For Appellant(s) Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.
Mr.G.S. Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Lalita Kaushik, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Naveen Kr. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(J.S. Rawat)
A.R.-cum-P.S.

(Vijay Aggarwal)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file].

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1198 OF 2001

Lal Singh

Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Rajasthan

Respondent(s)

ORDER

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the records of the case, we find that the evidence is not sufficient to fasten guilt on the accused-appellant in terms of Section 376 IPC read with Section 34 IPC. Therefore, his conviction is set aside and the appellant is acquitted of the charges levelled against him.

It needs to be noted that there were two other persons who faced trial. One of them, namely, Bhagta Singh, faced trial for allegedly committing offence punishable under Section 376 IPC while the other one, namely, Pyara Singh, faced trial for allegedly committing offence punishable under Section 376 read with Section 34 IPC.

The appellant has already been released on bail pursuant to the order of this Court dated 6th February, 2002. In view of his acquittal, the appellant's bail bonds shall stand discharged.

The appeal is allowed accordingly.

.....J.
(Dr. ARIJIT PASAYAT)

New Delhi;
May 30, 2007.

.....J.
(D.K. JAIN)