

SECTION IV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7765 OF 2014

Sudershan Kumar

..Appellant

-Versus-

Saroj Bala & Anr.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING

Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

- (a) There are two Respondents in this Appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 has been served with Notice at SLP stage but have not chosen to enter appearance.
- (b) Original Record has not been requisitioned from High Court.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Neither Counsel for Appellant nor Counsel for the Respondent in the appeals have filed Statement of case. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as Statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondent has not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondent does not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 14th day of May, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Advocate
102, New Lawyers' Chamber, Setalvad Block, SCI, New Delhi
2. Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Advocate
131, Old Lawyers Chambers, Supreme Court of India,

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR