

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1088 OF 2000

KESHAB CHANDRA PRADHAN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

BAINA & ANR.
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 22/09/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan,Adv.
Mr. B. Ragunath,Adv.
Mr. K.V. Venkataraman,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Janaranjan Das,Adv.
Mr. Swetaketu Mishra,Adv.

Mr. N.P. Midha,Adv.
Mr. Bharat Sangal ,Adv
Mr. R.R. Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Ganga Thakur)
(Prem Prakash)
PS to Registrar

Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file. IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1088 OF 2000

KESHAB CHANDRA PRADHAN
...APPELLANT(S)

Versus

BAINA & ANR.
...RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

Having heard the learned counsel for the appellant at length, we find from the

judgment of the Trial Court that after the discussion of the evidence of prosecution witnesses,

the Trial Court has recorded the acquittal on appreciation of the evidence. In view of this, it

cannot be said that a grave mis-carriage of justice has been committed by the Trial Court which

would warrant interference by the High Court in Revision Application. Consequently, the view

taken by the High Court cannot be said to be unjustified.

This appeal being devoid of merit it is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.

(H.K. SEMA)

.....J.

.....

(G.P. MATHUR)

New Delhi,

September 22, 2005.