

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NO.1 in &
Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2013
CC 8563/2012
(From the judgment and order dated 23/12/2010 in WA No.1630/2010 of The
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS)

P. NATESAN Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SECRETARY TO GOVT.
HOME (POLICE) DEPARTMENT, CHENNAI & ORS. Respondent(s)

(For permission to file SLP and office report)

Date: 28/01/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. N. Rajaraman, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is stated by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that his client was a petitioner before the learned single judge in the High Court. However, when the State filed an appeal against the aforesaid judgment of the learned single judge, the petitioner was not served with any notice. The petitioner is also not aware as to whether the State had impleaded him as a respondent. Therefore, he seeks permission to file the present special leave petition.

...2/-

:2:

In our opinion, if the petitioner had succeeded before the learned single judge and he was not made a party in the appeal, which was heard by the Division Bench, the remedy available to the petitioner would not be by way of present special leave petition. Petitioner would have to approach the High Court for the appropriate relief. Accordingly, permission to file special leave petition is declined.

|(VINOD LAKHINA)
|COURT MASTER

|(A.S. BISHT)
|COURT MASTER