

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 1230 OF 2013

STATE OF M.P.

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

RAMESH SINGH & ORS.

RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Sandeepan Pathak, learned counsel appearing for the appellant.

We have been taken through the judgments of the Trial Court as well as of the High Court. We find that the High Court, after appropriately analyzing the evidence, had drawn correct conclusions.

Hence, we do not find any reason to interfere with the judgment dated 08.01.2010 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh Bench at Gwalior.

Accordingly, we find no merit in the criminal appeal and the same is dismissed.

.....J.
[PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE]

.....J.
[AMITAVA ROY]

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 22, 2016

ITEM NO.106

COURT NO.9

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1230/2013

STATE OF M.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAMESH SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 22/09/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sandeepan Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Dhirendra Singh Parmar, Adv.
Mr. C. D. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Raj Kishore, Adv.
Mr. Abhay Gupta, Adv.
Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The criminal appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

(SWETA DHYANI)
SR.P.A.

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)