

ITEM NO.48

COURT NO.9

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 307 OF 2009

CHANDERKANTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAM RATTAN

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,exemption from filing O.T. and office report )

Date: 01/02/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. H.S. Uppal, adv.  
Mr. Purushottam S.J., Adv.  
Ms. Ruchita Uppal, Adv.  
Mr. Mohan Pandey,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. D.K. Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Arup Banerjee,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)  
Court Master

(Vijay Dhawan)  
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO.307 OF 2009

CHANDERKANTA

...PETITIONER(S)

Versus

RAM RATTAN

...RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, we are satisfied that this is a fit case where the prayer for transfer is to be allowed.

On the facts of the case we allow this Transfer  
Petition and direct that H.M.A. Petition No.909 of 2008  
titled Ram Rattan versus Chanderkanta pending in the Court  
of Additional District Judge, Rohini, Delhi be  
transferred to the Court of competent jurisdiction at  
Bandra, Mumbai (Maharashtra).

This Transfer Petition is accordingly, allowed.

.....J.  
(B. SUDERSHAN REDDY)

.....J.  
(SUDERSHAN SINGH NIJJAR)

New Delhi,  
February 01, 2010