

Æ
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.480 OF 2007

GULZAR
VERSUS
STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.
APPELLANT
RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Mr. Anoop Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for Appellant submitted that he does not want to press this Appeal for the reasons mentioned in Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.12203 of 2012 filed by him.

In view of the above, we allow the said Criminal Miscellaneous Petition.

This Appeal has, accordingly, been rendered as infructuous and it is dismissed as such.

.....J
[Deepak Verma]

.....J
[Sudhansu Jyoti Mukhopadhaya]

New Delhi;
May 15, 2012.
ITEM NO.117(MM)

COURT NO.2

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 480 OF 2007

GULZAR
VERSUS
STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.
(With application for withdrawal of Crl.A. No.480/2007)
Appellant (s)
Respondent(s)

Date: 15/05/2012 This Appeal was taken up on mentioning today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA
(Vacation Bench)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Anoop Kumar Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Vipin K. Saxena, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.12203 of 2012 is
allowed and this Appeal is dismissed as having become
infructuous, in terms of the signed order.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master

[Signed Order is placed on the file]