

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 351 OF 2004

RITU SIROHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AJAY KUMAR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 10/03/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Gopalkrishna, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Srivastava, Adv.

Ms. Annanya Verma, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Verma,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranbir Yadav,Adv.

Mr. L.D. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Pawan Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Ravi P. Verma)

(Kanwal Singh)

Court Master

Court Master

[Signed Order is placed on the File]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.351 OF 2004

Dr. Ritu Sirohi

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

Dr. Ajay Kumar

...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

This Transfer Petition has been filed by the wife seeking transfer of the

petition No. 116/2003 titled Dr. Ajay Kumar Vs. Dr. (Smt) Ritu Sirohi filed by her

husband in the Court of Shri M.M. Gandhi, Civil Judge(Senior Division) Court No.5 at

Mehsana to the court of competent jurisdiction at Mathura where she is residing.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, we are inclined to transfer the aforesaid case and it is so ordered.

The Court of Civil Judge(Senior Division) Court No.5 at Mehsana is directed to remit case No. 116/2003 titled Dr. Ajay Kumar Vs. Dr. (Smt) Ritu Sirohi to the

Court of District and Session Judge at Mathura, who on receipt of the same may either

keep it on its own board or assign it to another court of competent jurisdiction.

The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.

[Ashok Bhan]

New Delhi;

.....J.

March 10, 2006.

[Lokeshwar Singh Pantal]