

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6239 OF 2013  
(arising out of S.L.P. (Civil) No. 9887 of 2012)

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S. P.C. SHARMA & CO. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. In the course of hearing, on the Court's suggestion, learned senior counsel for the parties agreed for the following order :

The appellant - Delhi Development Authority - shall pay to the respondent within four weeks from today a sum of Rs. 70 Lakhs (Rupees Seventy Lakhs Only) in full discharge of all liabilities under the award dated April 30, 2009 (and the impugned judgment dated 01.12.2011) relating to the contract dated September 14, 1982, i.e., the contract for internal development of land for Pocket-3, Kalkaji, New Delhi in respect of 540 houses of different category. The above payment is over and above the payment already made by the appellant to the respondent.

3. The impugned judgment is modified as above.

4. Appeal is disposed of with no order as to costs.

5. Question of law is kept open.

.....J.  
( R.M. LODHA )

NEW DELHI;  
AUGUST 1, 2013

.....J.  
( CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD )

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9887/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 01/12/2011 in FAO No.563/2010 of The  
HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S. P.C. SHARMA & CO.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-parte stay, permission to place addl.  
documents on record and office report )

[FOR FINAL DISPOSAL]

Date: 01/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. A. Saran, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ambar Qamaruddin, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.  
Appeal is disposed of with no order as to costs in terms of  
the signed order.  
Question of law is kept open.  
Pending interlocutory application, if any, stands disposed  
of.

|(Rajesh Dham)  
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)  
|Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)