

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1090-1099 OF 2011
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

T.NADU ELECT.BOARD

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

T.NADU SPG.MILLS ASSOCIATION & ANR ETC.

Respondent(s)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 2859 of 2011
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 3171 of 2011
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 3453 of 2011
(With office report)

Date: 03/08/2012 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. R. Nedumaran,Adv.

Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey,Adv.
Mr. G.S. Chauhan,Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar,Adv.

Mr. S. Gowthaman,Adv.
Mr. M.P. Parthiban,Adv.

Mr. B. Balaji,Adv.
Mr. P. Krishna Moorthy,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Gowthaman,Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran ,Adv
Mr. Danish Zubair Khan,adv.

Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey ,Adv
Mr. G.S. Chauhan,Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar ,Adv

Mr. Udayaditya Banerja,Adv.
M/S. Temple Law Firm ,Adv

Mr.Senthil Jagadeesan ,Adv

...2/-

Item No.60

: 2 :

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma,Adv.
Mr. G. Umapathy,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is seen that in few of the cases service is incomplete. However,
counsel for the parties submit that these are appeals inter se and cross

appeals and some of the parties who are unserved are either the served respondents or the appellants in some of the cases. Office to verify that any of the unserved respondent is either served as respondent or appeared through a counsel as appellant in any of the cases so that service can be deemed as complete against such of the unserved respondents. Put up with comprehensive office report on 19.9.2012.

|
s

|

|(SUNIL THOMAS)
|Registrar

|