

IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 8932/2013

LAKSHMI SUGAR MILLS CO. LTD.

Appellan

t(s)

VERSUS

C.I.T. DELHI-II

Responde

nt(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 18/12/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Kamal Kishore, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that the respondent has filed the statement of case. The office report proceeds to state that the learned counsel for the appellant has failed to file the statement of case although he was notified to do so by notice dated 23.6.2014 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013

provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed

Signature Not Verified that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the Digitally signed by

Madhu Grover
Date: 2014.12.19
11:29:44 IST

Reason:
time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. In view of the rule

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

MG