

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3008/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 01/03/2010 in
of The HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

BA No. 1163/2010

JAY POLYCHEM(I) P.LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K.A.KOSHY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,permission to file additional documents)

WITH SLP(Crl) NO. 2679 of 2010

(With appln. for stay and office report)

Date: 18/10/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s)

SLP(Crl.)3008/10 Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Vineet Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. K. Singhal, Adv.
Mr. R.C. Kaushik,Adv.

SLP(Crl.)2679/10

Mr. L.N. Rao, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
Mr. R.K. Pandey, Adv.
Mr. T.P. Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Katyal, Adv.
Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R. Sathish,Adv.

Mr. Manoj V. George, Adv.
Mr. Alex Joseph, Adv.
Mohd. Irshad Hanif ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur) (Renuka Sadana)

Court Master Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.2017 OF 2010
(Arising out of S.L.P.(Crl.)No.3008 of 2010)

JAY POLYCHEM (INDIA) PVT. LTD.

Appellant(s)

Versus

K.A. KOSHY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

W I T H

O R D E R

We have heard learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

Leave granted.

These appeals by special leave are directed against the judgment and order dated 1.3.2010 passed by the High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam in Bail application No.1163 of 2010.

The respondents have already approached the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh and obtained interim anticipatory bail. In such view of the matter these appeals have become infructuous.

However, in the impugned order the High Court of Kerala observed that the interrogation by the

...2/-

-2-

Investigating Officer shall be only in the office of the City Police Commissioner, Ernakulam on such date and dates as intimated by the City Police Commissioner. This observation made by the High Court is set aside. The other observations, if any, made in the impugned order shall have no bearing whatsoever, on the hearing of the matter by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana.

The appeals are accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.
(B.SUDERSHAN REDDY)

.....J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

October 18, 2010