

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3965 OF 2004

GAJANAN NARAYANASA HABIB

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

GANGADHAR GURAPPA HARTI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for production of additional documents and prayer
for interim relief and office report)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3966/2004 (with office report)

Date: 22/09/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)
In CA 3965/2004

Mr. Ananda Mahendra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. G.V.Chandrashekar, Adv.
Mr. N.K.Verma, Adv.for
Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.

In CA 3966/2004

Mr. A.Mariarputham, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D.H.Pastay, Adv.
Mr. Shankar Divate, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajesh Mahale,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed
order. No costs.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3965 OF 2004

Gajanan Narayanasa Habib

..Appellant

versus

Gangadhar Gurappa Harti & Another

..Respondents

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3966 OF 2004

O R D E R

Civil Appeal No.3965 of 2004

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment and order dated 17th September, 2003 of the High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore in R.F.A. No. 19/2001.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

We have carefully perused the impugned judgment and we have also been taken through the depositions of some of the witnesses. We find no error much less perversity in the findings recorded by the courts below to warrant interference.

The Civil Appeal is dismissed accordingly. No costs.

Civil Appeal No.3966 of 2004

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment and order dated 17th September, 2003 of the High

-2-

Court of Karnataka at Bangalore in R.F.A. No. 105 of 2001.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

We have carefully gone through the judgments of the courts below. In our opinion, the appreciation of the material on record and the legal inferences drawn from the same are unexceptionable and do not call for our interference.

The Civil Appeal is dismissed accordingly. No costs.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 22, 2010

.....J.
[T.S. THAKUR]