

ITEM NO.25

COURT NO.10

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2011

CC 12195/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 20/06/2011 in WA No.658/2011 of the High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore)

GEORGE THOMAS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K.P. KRISHNAPPA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/copy as well as plain copy of the impugned order and permission to file SLP without c/copy as well as plain copy of impugned order and office report ))

Date: 09/08/2011      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Petitioner(s)      Mr.    P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Amit Dhingra, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Aman Leekha, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Vamshi Chindaluru, Adv.  
                                 For    M/S. Dua Associates,A.O.R.

For Respondent(s)      Mr.    Vishwanatha Shetty, Sr. Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Anad Sanjay M. Nuli, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    R. Badrinath, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Somdutt Sundaram, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

## O R D E R

Heard Shri P.P. Rao, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and perused the record.

Leave granted.

Shri R.D. Upadhyay, Advocate-on-record, who has entered caveat on behalf of respondent no.1, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondent.

Tag with C.A. No.2167 of 2009 arising out of

2

SLP(C)No.7781/2007.

The appellant's prayer for stay of the impugned judgment is rejected. However, it is made clear that all the actions, which may be taken in furtherance of order dated 22.11.2010 passed by the Special Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, shall remain subject to final disposal of the appeal.

The observations made in paragraphs 23, 24, 26 and 30 of the judgment of the learned Single Judge are expunged being wholly unwarranted.

(A.D. Sharma)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master