

ITEM NO.304

COURT NO.9

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s) .95/2012

DEVIKA BISWAS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for directions and exemption from filing O.T. and impleadment and permission to file additional documents and permission to submit additional documents and office report)
(For final disposal)

Date : 17/04/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Abhiti Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Maninder Singh, ASG
Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Rani Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. D. S. Mahra, AOR
Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

Mr. B. S. Banthia, AOR

Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, AOR

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, AOR

Mr. P. George Giri, AOR

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, AOR

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

For States of Andhra Pradesh	Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv. Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.
Assam	Ms. Kankana Arandhera, Adv. for M/s Corporate Law Group
Bihar	Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR Ms. Rashmi Shrivastava, Adv. Ms. Shubhra Rai, Adv.
Chhattisgarh	Mr. Jugal Kishore Gilda, AG Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv. Mr. Charudatta Mahindrakar, Adv. Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.
Goa	Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Adv. Mr. Sidharth Mohan, Adv. Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR
Gujarat	Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR Ms. Jesal, Adv. Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.
Haryana	Mr. Atul Mangle, AAG Mr. Sanjeev Tayal, Adv.
H.P.	Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, AAG Ms. Pragati Neekhara, AOR
J&K	Ms. Shilpa Gupta, Adv.
Jharkhand	Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, AOR Mr. Kumar Anurang Singh, Adv. Mohd. Waquas, Adv.
Kerala	Ms. Bina Madhavan, AOR
Maharashtra	Mr. Shankar Chillarge, AAG Ms. Sonia Shankar Chillarge, Adv. Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.
Manipur	Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv. Mr. Ashok Kr. Singh, Adv. Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.
Meghalaya	Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR Mr. Subhro Sanyal, Adv.
Mizoram	Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv.

	Mr. Heshu Kayina, Adv.
Nagaland	Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR
Odisha	Ms. Kirti Renu Mishra, AOR Ms. Apurva Upmanyu, Adv.
Punjab	Mr. Ajay Bansal, AAG Mr. Gaurav Yadava, Adv. Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR
Sikkim	Mr. A. Mariarputham, AAG Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv. Mr. K. Vijay Kumar, Adv. for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.
Tamil Nadu	Mr. B. Balaji, AOR Ms. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv.
Telangana	Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv. Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv.
Tripura	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv. Ms. Rashmi Shrivastava, Adv.
Uttarakhand	Dr. Abhishek Atrey, AOR Mr. Pankaj Bhatia, Adv. Mr. Vivek Chaudhary, Adv. Mr. Sumit Rajora, Adv.
A&N Islands	Mr. R. Balasubramaniam, Adv. Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Mrs. G. Indira, AOR
Puducherry	Mr.V.G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv. Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the affidavit filed by the State of Chhattisgarh as well as by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.

As an interim measure, we direct the Secretary in the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India to hold a meeting with his counterparts in the States and Union Territories within next six weeks to arrive at a consensus on the effective implementation of the various schemes relating to sterilization, the Family Planning Indemnity Scheme, 2013 and the directions given by this Court in Ramakant Rai Vs. Union of India [(2009) 16 SCC 565.

The deliberations and conclusions of that meeting should be placed on an affidavit in this Court well before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The State of Chhattisgarh will also file a status report on the progress made by the Commission headed by Ms. Anita Jha, Retired District Judge and the prosecutions that have been launched.

Learned Advocate General appearing on behalf of the State of Chhattisgarh says that he will look into the issue of taking action against manufacturer of the drug,

filing of charge sheets against them and to step up efforts to arrest the two absconders or, if necessary, to declare them proclaimed offenders.

List the matter on 10th July, 2015.

For the time being, the presence of learned counsel for the States and Union Territories (other than the State of Chhattisgarh) is dispensed with.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER