

Z\$  
ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.13

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1139 OF 2005

PARASRAM Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL. DOCUMENTS and office report)

Date: 13/02/2014 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Govind Ram, Adv.  
Mr. Rajendra Sahu, Adv.  
Mr. Rishabh Sahu, Adv.  
Mr. C.L. Sahu, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.  
Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order. His bail bonds are cancelled. He shall surrender forthwith to serve out the remaining period of sentence.

|(Vishal Anand)  
|Court Master

|(Usha Sharma)  
|Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1139 OF 2005

PARASRAM Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The Appellant is aggrieved by the Judgment and Order dated 29-3-2005 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh in Criminal Appeal No.2032 of 2001.

The case of the prosecution was that the Appellant a Constable, had attacked Sub-Inspector Baliram (PW1) with a knife on 31-5-2000. The apparent cause of the attack was that the appellant had a grudge with regard to the duties assigned to him.

The injuries suffered by Baliram were as follows:-

- (1) Incised wound 4 cm x 5 cm on right side of Scalp.
- (2) Incised wound 3 cm x 5 cm on right wrist.
- (3) Incised wound 5 cm x 9 cm on right shoulder.
- (4) Incised wound actively bleeding on left wrist.

It has come on record that due to the injuries, Baliram was in a Private Hospital for about 10 days undergoing treatment.

While the appellant was initially charged for an offence punishable under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, ultimately the Trial Judge convicted him of an offence punishable under Section 324 of the I.P.C.

-2-

Feeling aggrieved, the appellant preferred an appeal which was dismissed by the High Court.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the Judgments of both the Courts. We do not find any reason to interfere with the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by both the Courts that the appellant had caused knife injuries to Baliram. It is not as if only one knife injury was caused to the victim but he suffered as many as four knife blows including one which caused seven vascular injuries on his wrist. The cumulative effect of all these injuries was that he was hospitalized for 10 days during which period he was surgically operated upon for the vascular injuries.

There is no reason to interfere with the impugned Judgment and order dated 29-3-2005 of the High Court of Madhya Pradesh.

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed. His bail bonds are cancelled. He shall surrender forthwith to serve out the remaining period of sentence.

.....J  
(MADAN B. LOKUR)

.....J  
(SHIVA KIRTI SINGH)

NEW DELHI,  
FEBRUARY 13, 2014.