

With the above observations and directions, the interlocutory application is disposed of.

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 406 OF 2014 IN WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 433 OF 1998 and CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 240 OF 2014 IN WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 433 OF 1998

Looking at the facts of the contempt petitions, we find that no case for contempt is made out.

The Contempt Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
[ANIL R. DAVE]

.....J.
[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

New Delhi;
August 26, 2015.

3

ITEM NO.1	COURT NO.3	SECTION X
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F		I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS		
I.A. 1 in I.A.1 No(s). 433/1998	in I.A. 33-34/2014	in Writ Petition(s)(Civil)
A.I.R.LY.PARCEL & GOODS PORTERS UNION		Petitioner(s)
VERSUS		
U.O.I. & ORS.		Respondent(s)

(for further direction and office report)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 406/2014 In W.P.(C) No. 433/1998
(With Office Report)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 240/2014 In W.P.(C) No. 433/1998
(With Office Report)

Date : 26/08/2015 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.
Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Adv.
Mr. Deepak Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Devashish Bharuka, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Pinki Anand, ASG
Mr. A. K. Panda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bharat Singh, Adv.
Ms. Manita Verma, Adv.
Mrs. Vimala Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Kritika Sachdeva, Adv.
Mr. S. K. Gupta, Adv.
For Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

4

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.
Ms. Geetanjali Mohan, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The interlocutory application is disposed of and contempt
petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Jayant Kumar Arora)
Sr. P.A.

(Sneh Bala Mehra)
Assistant Registrar

(Signed order is placed on the file)