

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).5545/2012

B.S.MARTHURKAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file SLP and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 06/09/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. D.P. Chaturvedi, Adv.
Mr. S.N. Bhat, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Vishruti Vijay, Adv.
Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.
Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Registry should have disclosed the development in the matter as per Court's order dated 22.8.2012 in the office report dated 5.9.2012, whereby the Hon'ble Court has specifically directed that "in the interest of justice, we grant two weeks' further time to the petitioner to file proof of service on the respondents. If for any reason, steps for effecting service has not been taken so far, the same shall be

Item No.73

-2-

taken within the said time, failing which this petition shall stands dismissed without further reference to the Court or to the Registrar."

In view of the above order, petitioner has on 24.8.2012 filed 14 sets of process fee and spare copies and, on 29.8.2012 filed an application for permission to effect dasti service on the unserved respondents. In view of the above development, an application under Order 5 Rule 9A of the Code of Civil Procedure for dasti service is allowed, as prayed for.

Petitioner has to serve dasti notice directly upon the litigant. However, petitioner may take help of the nearest Civil Court or the Trial Court, if so required. For the purpose, Registry has to follow the direction as per Circular dated 19.1.2012. Dasti notice must be issued before 13.9.2012.

List again on 27.9.2012.

		(S.G. SHAH)
		REGISTRAR