

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 266 OF 2008

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

BHANWAR SINGH NATHAWAT & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for intervention,stay,impleadmen)
with
WP(C) No.300/2009 and 198/2010
(with office report)

Date: 11/09/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Pranesh,Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.
Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. Mohan Pandey, Adv.
Mr. S.N. Bhat, Adv.
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta,Adv.
Ms. Sushma Manchanda, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad ,Adv
Ms. Binu Tamta ,Adv
Mr. E.C. Agrawala ,Adv
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.
Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Item No.80

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Registry has to clarify in writing to the undersigned that when there is a specific order on 22.8.2012, instead of compliance of such order, why the matter has been listed before this Court today.

The learned Advocate-on-Record, Mr. Pranesh for the petitioner has pointed out that he has filed vakalatnama. Registry has to rectify the database so as to disclose the name of the learned counsel for the petitioner in the cause list.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has further pointed out that they have filed some applications for impleadment. Such application shall be dealt with, as per rules.

REGISTRAR (S.G. SHAH)

rd