

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).2109/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 18/10/2011 in CRLWP No.833/2011 of the HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

NAVLAKH UMBRE PARYAVARAN PARISAR VIKAS SANGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP, stay and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 9048 of 2012

(With appln. for seeking events/documents, prayer

permission to place on record additional for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9049 of 2012

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9050 of 2012

(With appln. for c/delay

in filing the SLP and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9051 of 2012

(With prayer for interim

relief and office report)

Date: 02/05/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Raju Ramachandra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. K. Sultan Singh, Adv.
Mr. V. Hari Pillai, Adv.
Mr. K. Himmat Singh, Adv.
Ms. Susha Unni, Adv.
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.
Mr. Jaswant Parsoya, Adv.

....2/-

: 2 :

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ashok Desai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vinod Bobade, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Navroz Seervai, Sr. adv.
Mr. K.A. Setalvad, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Shiv Kumar Suri, Adv.
Mr. Saswat Patnaik, Adv.
Ms. Anu Bindra, Adv.
Ms. Kamna Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kharde, Adv.
for Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Yashpal Dhingra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Put up on July 19, 2012.

If this Bench composition is not available on that date, list the matter at 2.00 p.m.

As the matter raises important issues that need to be resolved urgently, we request the Bombay High Court to take up the writ petition registered before it as PIL No.115/2010 and dispose it of by June 30, 2012.

We are not unconscious of the heavy burden before the High Court but we make the request having regard to the nature of the controversy in this case and we do hope and trust that the Bombay High Court will be able to finally dispose of the PIL, as requested, by June 30, 2012.

In the meanwhile, it will be open to the respondent (Hindustan Electricity Generation Company Private Limited) to:

....3/-

: 3 :

- a) place its orders for purchase of machineries;
- b) construct the boundary wall around the premises where it proposes to set up the power plant;
- c) plant any number of trees in the premises;
- d) give notice to proceed to the contractor(s);
and
- e) take steps to tie up finances, including equity participation, if any.

It is made clear that the respondent (Hindustan Electricity Generation Company Private Limited) may do all or any of the above acts at its own risk and subject to the final result of the PIL as well as the present special leave petitions.

(N.S.K. Kamesh)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master