

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6177 OF 2012
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

VIHSAL OJHA & ORS. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SUNIL KUMAR & ORS. Respondent(s)
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 22/10/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Shalu Sharma, Adv.
Mr. D.P. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rabin Majumder, Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

As per the order passed on 9.9.2013, respondent Nos.1 and 2 were supposed to file the counter affidavit on record. However, the learned Advocate, Mr. Vikrant Yadav, appearing on behalf of Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Advocate-on-Record for respondent No.1 has made a submission at Bar that till date he has not been served with the complete set of the pleadings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner to serve the complete set of the pleadings on the learned Advocate, Mr. Vikrant Yadav within two weeks and thereafter counter affidavit be filed within four weeks.

Item No.19

-2-

The right of respondent No.3 to file counter affidavit has been already exhausted vide order dated 9.9.2013.

Respondent No.4 has been already ordered to proceed ex parte, vide order dated 9.9.2013.

Registry to clarify and submit a complete report as to whether the statement of case or counter affidavit is required to be filed on record in the present matter.

As per the office report, respondent No.3 seems to have filed statement of case, which, as per the office report is defective. Complete status be submitted by the next date.

Registry has to rectify the database so as to disclose the name of the learned Advocate, Mr. M.C. Dhingra, who has filed vakalatnama, in the cause list.

List again on 28.11.2013.

(M.A. SAYEED)
REGISTRAR