

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 360 OF 2009

RAVI SHANKAR BHUSHAN Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH
W.P(C) NO. 301 of 2005
(With office report)

Date: 27/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Petitioner(s)
Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tariq Adeebm Adv.
for Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.

Ms. Kiran Suri, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.
Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.

Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Raj Kishor Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Abhijeet Kumar, Adv.

R-14 Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Swati Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

R-8 Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Jha, Adv.
Mr. Parvez A. Khan, Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

...2/-

: 2 :

R-27 Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Ratna D. Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. Parvez A. Khan, Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

R-21 Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Jha, Adv.
Ms. Swati Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

R-28 Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Swati Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Khanna, ASG
Ms. Seema Rao, Adv.
Mr. Shadman Ali, Adv.
for Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. Kuldeep S. Parihar, Adv.
Mr. H.S. Parihar, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kunur, Adv.
Mr. Ramesh Keswani, Adv.

Mr. Karan Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Chandra Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Ram Swarup Sharma, Adv.

Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Adv.
Mr. Ganesh Kamath, Adv.
Mr. Prateek Khanna, Adv.
for M/s. M.V. Kini Associates

Ms. Praveena Gautam, Adv.
Ms. Pusshp Gupta, Adv.

Mrs. Rachna Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv. (NP)

Mr. B.K.Satiya, Adv.

Mrs. S. Usha Reddy, Adv.

...3/-

: 3 :

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.

Mr. Awanish Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Ram Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We notice that consistently there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.3 - Comptroller & Auditor General of India ('CAG' for short), though vakalatnama has been filed by Ms. Sushma Suri, Advocate.

The averment made in the writ petition is that no steps are being taken by the CAG, who is the Chairman of the Fund, to collect the amounts from various banks. No details are also being furnished by the CAG as to the amounts collected and the manner in which the collected amounts are being utilised.

In such circumstances, since neither an affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent No.3 nor there is representation on its behalf, we are, therefore, inclined to give a direction to the CAG to nominate a Deputy CAG, who shall remain present in the Court on the next date of hearing, since the matter is of public interest.

Put up on September 18, 2013 at the top of the Board.
The Registry is directed to communicate this order to the
Office of the Comptroller & Auditor General of India.

| (N.S.K. Kamesh)

| | (Renuka Sadana)

|
| Court Master

| | Court Master

|